

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

WILLIAM J. ROHLAND, #HG5873,

Plaintiff,

v.

BUCKS COUNTY PA, et al.,

Defendants.

:
:CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:20-CV-00071
:
:
:
:(JUDGE MARIANI)
:(Magistrate Judge Saporito)
:
:

ORDER

AND NOW, THIS 4th **DAY OF FEBRUARY 2020,** upon review of

Magistrate Judge Saporito's Report and Recommendation (R&R) (Doc. 5) for clear error or manifest injustice, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The R&R (Doc. 5) is **ADOPTED** for the reasons set forth therein;
2. Plaintiff's Complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED without prejudice**;
3. Plaintiff is granted **fourteen (14) days** from the date of this Order to file an amended complaint;¹
4. This matter is remanded to Magistrate Judge Saporito for further proceedings.



Robert D. Mariani
United States District Judge

¹ Plaintiff's filing docketed in this Court on February 3, 2020, (Doc. 8) which he indicates is "mending" the original complaint and "adding" to it (Doc. 8 at 3) is not recognized as the amended complaint contemplated by the R&R (see Doc. 5 at 3) or allowed by this Order and is not considered a legal pleading which requires a response or further action by the Court.